

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
POINCIANA  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Poinciana Community Development District was held on Wednesday, **January 17, 2024** at 11:00 a.m. via Zoom Communication Media Technology and in the Starlite Ballroom, 384 Village Drive, Poinciana, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Lita Epstein	Chairperson
Tony Reed <i>by phone</i>	Vice Chairman
Robert Zimbardi	Assistant Secretary
Anita Nelson	Assistant Secretary
Jon Cameron	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Tricia Adams	District Manager
Jan Carpenter	District Counsel
Kathy Leo	District Engineer
Clayton Smith	Field Manager
Joel Blanco	Field Services
Stephen Amrein	Solitude
Chris Reed	Solitude
Residents	

*The following is a summary of the discussions and actions taken at the January 17, 2024 Poinciana Community Development District's Board of Supervisors Meeting.*

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Roll Call**

Ms. Adams called the meeting to order and called the roll at 11:54 a.m. All Supervisors were present.

- **Chair's Comments**

Ms. Epstein reported that they were starting the meeting almost an hour late, because the Poinciana West CDD meeting, held prior to this meeting, was longer than their allotted two-hour meeting time and future changes to the schedule, to start at noon versus 11:00 a.m., would be discussed later in the meeting. Ms. Adams indicated that this item would be discussed under Other Business.

**SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

**THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Public Comment Period on Agenda Items**

Ms. Epstein opened the public comment period. There being no comments, Ms. Epstein closed the public comment period.

**FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Solivita Conservation and Wildlife Committee Presentation**

Ms. Epstein requested tabling this matter, due to the late start of the meeting and the length of the presentation. Mr. Bob Monica, Wildlife Chair of the Solivita Conservation and Wildlife Committee indicated that the presentation was educational, as there was ignorance about the population of the animals and the goal of the HOA, was to identify the creatures and the issues and requested that the presentation be deferred until there was time to do it right. Ms. Epstein asked if there were any immediate concerns. Mr. Monica confirmed that there were no immediate concerns, as the presentation was only a summary of their work, to try to better understand the problems and come up with potential solutions, which would involve budgetary and operational concerns. Ms. Adams would coordinate the scheduling with Mr. Monica. This item was deferred.

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Approval of Minutes of the October 18, 2023 Meeting**

Ms. Adams presented the minutes from the October 18, 2023 meeting, which were reviewed by District Counsel and management staff. There were no corrections.

On MOTION by Mr. Cameron seconded by Mr. Reed with all in favor the Minutes of the October 18, 2023 Meeting were approved as presented.

**SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Ratification of Data Sharing & Usage Agreement with Polk County**

Ms. Adams presented the Data Sharing & Usage Agreement with the Polk County Property Appraiser, whereby the county agrees to not release any information of law enforcement officers or judges, which were exempt from the Public Records Law. The agreement starts on January 1, 2024 and was an annual agreement. It was presented to the Chair for approval and filed with the county before the January 1 deadline.

On MOTION by Mr. Zimbardi seconded by Ms. Nelson with all in favor the Data Sharing and Usage Agreement with Polk County was ratified.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Proposal for Stormwater Manhole Repairs in the Vicinity of 170 Largo Dr.**

Mr. Smith reported that road inlet at 170 Largo Drive, had a small hole on the side of it, where the corner meets the curve. Pictures were provided to the Board, showing the issue and the work that the vendor would do. When a landscaper for the HOA responded to a resident complaint of a small depression next to the inlet, they worked with the HOA to try and figure out what the issue was. The landscaper dug it up to take a look at it and staff went out and opened the manhole cover, looked inside and determined that there was a small hole as big as a hand, which caused dirt to go into the inlet basin when it rained or the area was irrigated. Because it was below the seam of the inlet top, it was under the jurisdiction of the CDD. As a result, staff obtained some quotes, but only received one, from All Terrain, who proposed \$10,505 to dig out a 1 foot to 2-foot-wide trench all the way around the outside of it and then backfill it with Hydro-Crete, a type of concrete, to seal the hole. They did not have to do the entire area, but were going the extra mile, to ensure that there were no future issues. However, GMS discussed with Ms. Leo doing more of a straightforward repair for \$644.75, to dig out the hole at the target spot, that was causing the depression and patch it. They could not guarantee that they would not have issues somewhere else around the inlet, but the difference in cost was significant.

Ms. Leo reviewed the estimate and felt that there were several items that were high, but it was typical, due to the use of heavy equipment and mobilization, but felt that Mr. Smith and his team were capable of handling the repair, because if it did not work, they had another option. Mr. Zimbardi questioned who made the determination that it was a CDD responsibility. Mr. Smith confirmed that it was based on an internal discussion when they reviewed the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). Ms. Adams indicated that staff reviewed the MOU and agreed with the GMS proposal. Mr. Zimbardi asked if the area was still exposed. Mr. Smith confirmed that it was currently exposed, but it was taped off. Mr. Zimbardi wanted to take a look at it, because he wanted to see what was under the flat top. Mr. Smith pointed out that it was a piece of concrete that was set in the ground and had a flat piece over the top. Mr. Cameron agreed with the GMS proposal for \$644.75, as the proposal from All Terrain for \$10,505 was excessive.

On MOTION by Mr. Cameron seconded by Ms. Nelson with all in favor the proposal with GMS for stormwater manhole repairs in the vicinity of 170 Largo Dr. in the amount of \$644.75 was approved.

## **EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

### **Discussion of Request for Proposals for Landscape Maintenance Services**

Ms. Adams reported as a local Florida Government, the CDD was required to comply with the Florida Statute regarding service agreements. The District currently had a maintenance agreement with Floralawn for servicing the landscape maintenance areas around stormwater ponds and any other CDD parcels, which expires on September 30, 2024. Since the CDD was only allowed to enter into an agreement for up to a maximum of five years, they entered into a five-year agreement and then each year, an annual renewal was presented to the Board for consideration and approval. However, they reached the maximum number of renewals and would use the existing budget as a placeholder with an inflationary index increase in the absence of any other information, since they were entering the budget season for Fiscal Year 2025. Because the contracted service amount met a minimum threshold that required a public bid process, the District was required to publish a notice and open the proposals in a sealed bid format. Typically, this process takes a while and one of first things that usually happened, is the Board would authorize staff to prepare a Request for Proposal (RFP) package for the formal bid process, which includes the form of notice, evaluation criteria and sample scope. Floralawn was the

current service provider for the District. They were doing a good job and there were no concerns about the level of service; however, it made sense to include this item on the agenda, as they would no longer be servicing the HOA areas.

Mr. Smith indicated for a project of this size and scope, there was a long transition period, as it takes 12 to 18 months to get acclimated with the property. Their experience with Floralawn as far as servicing CDD areas and their communication, had always been positive and they had a great working relationship with them. Mr. Cameron questioned the monetary threshold for bids. Ms. Adams confirmed that it was \$195,000. Mr. Cameron pointed out that they exceeded that amount every year. Ms. Adams agreed, as the current budgeted amount was \$240,000. Ms. Epstein felt that it made sense to start the RFP process and since the HOA was supposed to make their decision by the end of February, preferred to have something ready by the March meeting and wait until the HOA takes action, as in the past, it made sense to have the same landscaper as the HOA. Ms. Adams would bring back the full bid package at the March meeting for the Board's review and input. Mr. Smith felt that it would be helpful to have the HOA maps. Ms. Adams pointed out that staff would coordinate with the HOA.

## **NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

### **Staff Reports**

#### **A. Attorney**

Ms. Carpenter presented a memorandum at the Poinciana West CDD meeting, on an amendment to Section 112 of the Florida Statutes, requiring each Board Member to complete four hours of ethics training on certain topics such as the Ethics Law, Sunshine Law, Board of Constitution and public records. Board Members were required to self-certify that they completed those four hours of training on their annual Form 1 Financial Disclosure Statement by July 1<sup>st</sup> of each year, which would start on January 1, 2024. Links would be provided on free videos that were available online from The Florida Commission on Ethics, Attorney General's Office and Florida League of Cities, that Board Members could watch at home. There were also a number of programs that the Board could purchase, such as one that had a \$79 charge, which was a good program. Some CDDs were doing an in-house presentation, but it required more hours of sitting and listening and much more Boards were likely to choose watching videos at home at their convenience. There were questions about Form 1 and many newspaper articles about changes to Form 6; however, CDDs were not required to fill out Form 6, which was much more inclusive and required many disclosures. Form 1 did not change other than the certification

and the one for 2024 was not out yet. However, the Florida Commission on Ethics office was now receiving those via e-filing, which was due on July 1, 2024. Ms. Carpenter pointed out that due to the Sunshine Law, two or more Board Members could not sit together outside of a meeting when there were items being discussed that could potentially come before the CDD Board. It was wise for someone else to sit with them or have space between them. Mr. Cameron asked if there was a date by which the ethics training must be completed. Ms. Carpenter indicated that Form 1 was due on July 1<sup>st</sup>.

**B. Engineer**

Ms. Leo was working with the developer on one additional pond, but they currently had no updates. Ms. Epstein attended a meeting at Varese last night, where a new pond was discussed and requested an update. Ms. Karen Irion of Varese reported that half of the neighborhood was developed and the other half was being constructed. However, whenever it rained, Lombardi Court flooded badly from a pond, but they did not know whether it was stormwater pond. They filed a complaint with the State last Summer because of the siltation coming off of the construction sites. They worked on it and did some basic cleanup, but she did not know how much the ponds silted at this point, because there was a great deal of excess silt coming off of the construction site. Every time, trucks were driven off during a rainstorm, there would be tons of silt running down Village Drive. Ms. Epstein asked if it was a pond that the CDD had taken over. Ms. Leo confirmed that Pond P8 was not accepted by the CDD, which was between Lombardi Court and Cypress Parkway and runs East/West. However, the North/South pond, which was E5, was conveyed to the CDD. Ms. Leo would take a look at it, as she believed that there was a blockage caused by construction debris, due to not having soft drains in the street to prevent sediments from going into the drain. Ms. Epstein appreciated it, as it was critical that this issue be resolved before the District takes over the pond and requested that Ms. Irion stay in contact with them. Ms. Leo pointed out that the best resource was the Water Management District website, which had approved drawings.

- **Field Manager's Report (Item 9D)**
  - i. **Field Manager's Report**

Mr. Smith presented the Field Manager Report, which was included in the agenda package. The inlet repair at 170 Largo was discussed and additional pictures were in his report.

The intrusion spot was marked. GMS reviewed both tunnels and were aware of some concrete spaces inside of the tunnel on the floor that slightly shifted when someone drove over it, but it was not to the point where it was causing a major safety issue; although it was noisy when someone drove over it. They planned to get some quotes to see how it could be repaired. Once he developed an idea of a scope, Mr. Smith would involve Ms. Leo, to ensure that the integrity of the tunnel was not affected by doing a sectional repair of the concrete. The tunnels would be pressure washed after Winter when it was drier. GMS staff frequently review CDD area landscaping and overall, the quality remains up to standard. They had a long dry season this year, with a couple of months of the driest weather and no rain.

## **ii. Pond Maintenance Report**

Mr. Smith reported that the ponds were low, at a time of year when they were typically high, causing a nutrient growth, which brings on many algae blooms. Solitude was treating them when reported or when algae blooms were present. Today, everything looked much better. Staying on top of the trees, helped. They have taken a different approach with the pond edges, allowing more shoreline grasses to grow in, to keep from having major erosion, as there should always be a gradual slope. Shoreline grasses were not the most desired type of vegetation, but they help stabilize that bank and serve much more beneficially than completely getting rid of them. This was based on site discussions that they had with Ms. Leo and recommendations that she made, as they would have major erosion issues, if they continued to treat the pond this way. They also discussed Pond E3 and the \$350,000 quote to fix the erosion like it had been fixed before, but after talking to the aquatic vendor, they decided to have the landscaper weed the top of it slightly, because there was waist high erosion in some areas. The idea was to keep it more natural looking but managed. Many of their previously planted ponds looked good, especially the one by the Amenity Center and there was no frost damage.

Regarding the pond conveyance, Mr. Smith reported that they have been overseeing the maintenance and seeing some drastic improvements. Access issues that were there, have been resolved, but there was still some undesired vegetation on a couple of ponds, which they were working towards getting under control. Many ponds had shoreline vegetation, which they were leaving, because it was better for the pond. An updated Solitude Aquatic Report was provided, which included the pond number, date of service and a few categories of what it was treated for.

The prior report from Clarke, was 12 pages long and was hard to understand, versus this version, which was much more palatable. Many of the ponds did not need treatment, because they were inspected or treated every week. The chemicals that they applied were used to manage present issues, not to prevent future issues. Mr. Zimbardi questioned the type of grasses that Mr. Smith was referring to. Mr. Smith explained that grasses referred to shoreline grasses that grow in the water, which in some cases was Torpedo Grass. They wanted to keep them under control, so it they did not completely take over the bank. Solitude managed them to keep them closer to the edge, while still allowing them to remain. Submerged weeds referred to the specific varieties of weeds that were under the water such as Hydrilla, Baby Tears, etc.

### **iii. Midge Management Report**

Mr. Smith presented the Clarke Treatment Report, which included the distance that they traveled to do the treatments. They treat the selected contracted ponds and anything that they add on. They did add a couple of ponds to the contract, based off of recommendations from Clarke, the data that they provided and from the conveyance. Ms. Nelson asked if mosquito control was provided by Polk County, as the District paid \$26,000 for mosquito control. Ms. Adams confirmed that the District was only paying for midge management and Polk County provided mosquito control. Mr. Smith pointed out that it was more targeted towards the midges. Mr. Zimbardi asked if midge fogging was a part of the midge control and what it was treating, as they did not spray near the vegetation and there were many midges around his house. Mr. Smith confirmed that it was part of the midge control and there was not anything better, unless they were treating more often. The fogger sprays the air and kills the airborne adults, before they have the opportunity to go back to the water and reproduce. They did not spray directly on bushes or plants. However, a resident could purchase their own fogger from Home Depot or Amazon to fog their own bushes or screens. The midges would never fully go away, because they were so active in the area that even if they were fully eradicated from a pond, all it takes was a few midges laying a few eggs on a pond. The idea was to eradicate the major swarming. Mr. Zimbardi asked if they were treating the ponds with a larvicide. Mr. Smith confirmed that not every pond was being treated with a larvicide, but Mr. Zimbardi's pond was still being treated. Mr. Stephen Amrein of Solitude indicated that they could use a barrier spray, but it was



expensive and recommended that residents purchase a fogger with a propane tank to spray in their house and yard.

**iv. Customer Complaint Log**

Mr. Smith presented the Customer Complaint Log. The resident at 473 Grand Canal Drive complained about algae, which was forwarded to the aquatic vendor, in order to include this pond in their rotation. The complaint about the Venezia Tunnel being dirty, was handled. The complaint about debris in the pond, was forwarded to the aquatic vendor. Vegetation in the Umbria Island, was for Pond P6, which had unwanted vegetation when it was conveyed. They were getting that under control. There was also a request to remove an alligator from the bank, which they do not do as there was no way to address it. However, they did provide the resident with the contact information, to make a report to the State, if the alligator was a nuisance or threat, but this alligator was too small for the State to handle.

**C. District Manager**

**i. Action Items List**

Ms. Adams presented the Action Items List, which was included in the agenda package and reported on the following:

1. Parcel Conveyance from Taylor Morrison to CDD: In Process. All ponds on the application were conveyed except for Pond P8
2. Monitor Central Florida Expressway – Poinciana Parkway Projects: Parkway Connector: In process. The CDD Board previously appointed the Chair to monitor.

Ms. Epstein reported that a pro bono attorney, who lived in the community, sent a letter on behalf of his firm, asking CFX to correct the misinformation on their website. They took the community's data and slides on their alternative and changed them to make it look worse than what was proposed. There was no response. Title 6 was also sent a letter.

3. Erosion Repairs: In process. Staff identified need repairs, developed a scope and gathered a proposal. Due to excessive repair costs, a different approach to vegetation maintenance was being tested to alleviate erosion.

Ms. Epstein asked if there was additional information from Polk County about the tunnels and the widening of Marigold Avenue. Ms. Leo did not hear anything but would follow up.

**ii. Approval of Check Register**

Ms. Adams presented the Check Register from October 11, 2023 to January 7, 2023 in the amount of \$252,393.76 and a detailed run summary. Ms. Nelson asked about the \$26,000 check for mosquito control. Ms. Adams explained that the name of the vendor was on the Check Run Summary, which was Clark Environmental Mosquito and was for midge management. Polk County contacted them to confirm that they do mosquito control and if residents had complaints, they needed to call the county. Ms. Nelson asked if the District was reimbursed for the conveyance of fees paid to Latham, Luna, Eden & Beaudine. Ms. Adams explained that they were reimbursed for that expense from Taylor Morrison, either through deposit or in this case, it would be wired back to the District as a reimbursement. Ms. Nelson could not find where they received the money. Ms. Adams would verify whether it went into Miscellaneous or the General Fund and report back to the Board, but she received confirmation from the GMS accounting team, that they did receive the wire. Before the Chair executed any documents, Ms. Epstein requested confirmation on when the wire was received, but everything went smoothly, as Taylor Morrison paid the amount that was due to the District.

On MOTION by Ms. Nelson seconded by Mr. Zimbardi with all in favor the October 11, 2023 to January 7, 2023 Check Register in the amount of \$252,393.76 was approved.

**iii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement**

Ms. Adams presented the Unaudited Financial Statements through November 30, 2023. Staff monitored the General Fund. In accordance with the Trust Indenture, the District was required to open a general account with Hancock Whitney. The District also had an account with Truist Bank and whenever possible, placed funds in a money market account, which had higher interest earnings. Staff also monitored the Unassigned Balance. The Debt Service Fund was managed by the Trustee. During last fiscal year, the Board directed staff to sequester money into a Capital Reserve Fund, which was not restricted and was earning 5.25% of interest at this time. Staff also monitored the prorated budget compared to actuals. Compared to most Districts, there was a transfer-in of \$140,000 of non-ad valorem CDD assessments by the end of November, from the Polk County Tax Collector's office, which was great. There was also Miscellaneous Revenue of \$26,243, which was the Taylor Morrison funds that were wired, which Ms. Adams

would confirm. In the Administrative Budget, there was \$33,000 in prorated fees and actual expenses were \$28,554, as the District did a good job of controlling expenses. Field Expenses were prorated at \$121,305 and actual expenses were \$87,828. The transfer-out for the capital reserve had not yet occurred, as it usually happens when all tax revenues were received, which staff would monitor. For the Debt Service Fund, a bond was refunded for Series 2022, which required an interest payment in November. The Special Call was never budgeted, but from time to time, residents would pay off their debt in lump sum, instead of making capital payments with their property tax bill. When that money was accrued, a special call was made. However, that was only made at a certain time of year, when the Trustee directs a special call to be made. Staff also monitored the Capital Reserve Fund as well as Interest Earnings, Month to Month Expenses and Revenue. Regarding the monies coming from the Tax Collector, part of those funds were retained in the General Fund for maintenance expenses and the operation of the District and a portion goes to the Trustee.

Ms. Adams apologized for the late start in the meeting, as it was frustrating for Board members to not be able to start the meeting promptly; however, she needed a few minutes in between meetings to reset agendas and name tapes and properly get Zoom connected. The Poinciana West CDD was scheduled to meet six times per year, which would cause confusion if there were different meeting times from month to month and the next time that the Poinciana West CDD met, Ms. Adams suggested that the District have a later start time of 11:30 a.m. or noon starting in March. Ms. Nelson preferred that the Poinciana West CDD have a start time of 7:30 a.m. Ms. Adams would be happy to ask the Poinciana West CDD Board Members to consider moving their meeting start time. Ms. Nelson felt that it was inconsiderate of the Poinciana West CDD Board to meet for longer than two hours, if they only met six times per year and were only scheduled for two hours. Ms. Adams recalled on two or three occasions, where the Poinciana CDD had to meet later because the Poinciana West CDD had not concluded their meeting in time and appreciated that Ms. Epstein brought this matter to her attention, as they had to pay staff such as District Counsel and the District Engineer. Ms. Carpenter confirmed that a later start time did not disrupt her schedule. Ms. Epstein asked if the Board wanted to move their meeting time to noon. Mr. Zimbardi agreed that it was a good idea. *There was Board consensus to move the Poinciana CDD meeting time to noon starting in March and Ms. Adams*

*would provide a revised meeting schedule to the Board at the next meeting. Since there was no Poinciana West CDD meeting, next month's meeting time would be 11:00 a.m.*

- D. Field Manager's Report**
  - i. Field Manager's Report**
  - ii. Pond Maintenance Report**
  - iii. Midge Management Report**
  - iv. Customer Complaint Log**

This item was discussed.

**TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Supervisor's Requests**

Mr. Cameron recalled at the October meeting, the Board directed him to serve as liaison with the HOA, regarding the MOU for the street sweeping. He contacted the Vice President of the HOA that day and requested that he serve on the committee or review the draft. His request was denied, but he worked with staff to come up with some language that was provided to the HOA. They liked it, but then sent a letter questioning the language, the authority to change it and what the CDD's plans were, which Ms. Tricia provided a response to. Since then, they questioned the status of the RFP and denied his request to review the language. Their RFP was sent out on January 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup>, which he reviewed and none of the language that the CDD suggested, was in the RFP. However, there were two small references in the RFP to blowing and debris removal. It was possible for them to include some additional language in the contract and Mr. Cameron would work with the HOA on the language, in order for them to be in compliance with the MOU. However, at this time, there was no language in the RFP, that meets the needs of the CDD, as the language that the HOA submitted, was what the landscaper was already doing. Ms. Adams confirmed that she received communication from the Solivita HOA Vice President this week, regarding some maintenance in the tunnel and a question regarding cleaning of the drain lines, which field staff responded to yesterday, but she acknowledged receipt of the message and informed them that she was reviewing it and would provide a response by the end of the week. Mr. Cameron requested a copy of the correspondence.

Mr. Zimbardi asked if there was a reason why they objected to any additional comments. Mr. Cameron indicated that their response was that it looked like the HOA and CDD were on the same page and they seemed to like it; however, their next response was the language was not

there and they had no opportunity to review the language. There were three areas in the RFP where the HOA made mistakes, but it was out now. Ms. Epstein pointed out that the HOA was forced to put out the RFP sooner than they expected to, but the CDD might want to reiterate in writing that during the contract period, they might want to consider some language to ensure that the CDD's needs were going to be met with the new contract. Ms. Adams asked if the Board wanted the District Manager's office to send out a letter, requesting that the HOA include language in their agreement that mimics the language provided by Supervisor Cameron, relative to the collection and removal of debris, to keep it out of the stormwater and to meet the MOU. *There was Board consensus.*

**ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Other Business**

There being no comments, the next item followed.

**TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**General Audience Comments**

Ms. Epstein opened the public comment period. Mr. Stephen Amrein of Solitude felt that allowing shoreline grasses to grow on Pond E3 to stop erosion, was a great idea, but it may hold it from coming back further and faster and suggested looking at doing something at some point. Resident Brian Greenzang of 758 Via Como Street thanked the Board for representing their community, but requested that the Board coordinate the meeting time, as it was preventing residents from getting involved. Ms. Epstein agreed, as it was unfair for residents that came out for the meeting and apologized for it. Mr. Greenzang pointed out that he was expecting a refund on the amount that they were paying the CDD, from the time that they moved in. Ms. Epstein indicated that he was talking about the club membership fee as the CDD was in charge of the stormwater control system and the tunnels. From talking to people involved with the class action, there was a great deal that needed to be done, because of the administrative aspects, before the court would approve any disbursement of funds. However, the money was in the hands of the administrator and they are working of a list and getting court approval. The dispersal was anticipated in April or May of this year. Mr. Greenzang questioned the club changes. Ms. Epstein heard last night at the HOA meeting, that there were a number of court actions, having to do with the ownership of the amenities, that still had to take place, such as hearings. Therefore, they were looking at months. A new judge was just appointed to the class action, which would



probably delay things even more. It was her understanding that the next hearing on the issue before the court, was either the beginning of June or July. There being no further comments, Ms. Epstein closed the public comment period.

**THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Adjournment**

On MOTION by Mr. Cameron seconded by Ms. Nelson with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.

  
Secretary / Assistant Secretary

  
Chair/Vice Chairman

